



Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OFFICE AND ADDRESS AND ADDRE

***						N. A. S.
APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED IN	VENTOR		ATTORNEY DOOK	ET(NO)
7 0				Je ve		er i tradi
08/645,073	05/13/96	YOSHIOKA	Μ	10)46.1/133/J	D
					wast a	A
	* 0.				EXAMINER	
		PM82/0316		7.3.3		, v
STAAS & HAL	SEY		GR	EGORY E		ke e e
700 ELEVENT	H STREET NW			ARTIUNIT	PAPER N	UMBER
SUITE 500			, , , , , , , , , , , , ,		MAKEN.	- A
WASHINGTON	DC 20001		36	62		27
and the second second	00 J. A. 1984 1	The Court of the C	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	TE MAILED:	150	
•				C	3/16/00	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

Application No.

08/645,073

Fplicant(s)

Makoto Yoshioka et al

Examiner

Bernarr Earl Gregory

Group Art Unit 3662



TH	IE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]
	a) X expires <u>Six (6)</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	b) expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
X	Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on 1 Mar 2000 (or within any period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).
Ap bu	plicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>1 Mar 2000</u> has been considered with the following effect, t is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
	The proposed amendment(s):
	will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.
	will not be entered because:
	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).
	☐ they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).
	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
	they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE:
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
	The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
X	For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
	Claims allowed: 1-13 and 15-19
	Claims allowed: 1-13 and 15-19 Claims objected to: none
\Box	Claims objected to: <u>none</u> Claims rejected: <u>14</u> The proposed drawing correction filed on hashas not been approved by the Examiner.
Ц	Claims objected to: <u>none</u> Claims rejected: <u>14</u>
X	Claims objected to: <u>none</u> Claims rejected: <u>14</u> The proposed drawing correction filed on hashas not been approved by the Examiner. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) Other The amendment has been entered, but a new copy of claim 14 in compliance with
	Claims objected to: <u>none</u> Claims rejected: <u>14</u> The proposed drawing correction filed on